# 2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Siskiyou County Resource Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 02:23:02 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Agriculture 2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Siskiyou County Resource Advisory Committee 70500

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 06/21/2016 06/21/2018

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?
Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

**11. Establishment Authority** Statutory (Congress Created)

13. 14.

14c. 12. Specific Establishment Authority Effective Committee \_

Presidential?

Date Type

The SRS and Community Self-Determination Act as

reauthorized in PL 110-343 and PL 112-141.

10/03/2008Continuing No

**15. Description of Committee** Other Committee

**16a. Total Number of** No Reports for this

**Reports** FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

**Meetings and Dates** 

No Meetings

	<b>Current FY</b>	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$4,500.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00

 18c. Other(rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)
 \$0.00 \$500.00

 18d. Total
 \$0.00 \$5,000.00

 19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)
 0.00 0.10

### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of a Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act resource advisory committee is to improve collaborative relations and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service consistent with Division C, Title VI of Public Law 110-343. The Act describes the duties of the committees as follows: to review projects proposed by counties and other interested parties; to recommend projects and funding; to provide early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials; to provide frequent opportunities for citizens, organizations, tribes, land management agencies and other interested parties to participate openly and meaningfully, beginning in the early stages of project development; to monitor projects approved under the Act; to advise the designated federal official on the progress of monitoring; and to make recommendations for any appropriate changes or adjustments to projects being monitored.

#### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee is comprised of 15 members, five in each of three categories prescribed in the Act. Within each category, the Act identifies specific interests members are to represent. Committees are formed in geographic areas to ensure each national forest located within a participating county or counties has access to a resource advisory committee. The Act requires members to be residents of the state or states within which the committee has jurisdiction.

#### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee generally will meet 1-4 times per year as needed to perform its duties, or as often as needed.

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The RAC is necessary to improve collaborative relationships among the people that use and care for the National Forests and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service concerning projects and funding consistent with Title II of the Act within Siskiyou County.

**20e.** Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? Not applicable.

#### 21. Remarks

The Siskiyou County RAC, approved zero projects in FY18 since they already voted on the fund allocation the prior year totaling \$674, 065. These projects took place on private, forest service and public land within the County. 11 of those projects voted in FY16 were funded/executed in FY18, with an additional five projects to be funded in FY18. No cost associated with the committee for administrative work in FY2018. RAC has been reauthorized on June 2018 but still waiting on SRS charter renewal to continue RAC activity.

### **Designated Federal Officer**

Patricia A Grantham Forest Supervisor, Klamath National Forest

#### **Narrative Description**

By recommending projects that maintain and improve the health of national forest ecosystems and improve cooperative relationships among the people who use and care for the national forests, the Secure Rural Schools resource advisory committees support the Forest Service mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. The committees specifically support Forest Service strategic goals to 1. Restore, sustain, and enhance the national forests; and 2. Provide and sustain benefits to the American people.

# What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Cnecked if Applies
mprovements to health or safety	
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	✓
mproved service delivery	
ncreased customer satisfaction	
mplementation of laws or regulatory requirements	✓
Other	

#### **Outcome Comments**

Not applicable.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applie	S
None	
Jnable to Determine	1
Jnder \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
Not applicable.	
What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee	
for the life of the committee?	
244	
Number of Recommendations Comments	
No new recommendations FY18. 12 have been cancelled. Due to the lack of funding and	
breaks in service with the RAC, a total of 130 recommendations have been funded.	
What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or	

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

65%

# % of Recommendations $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ Implemented Comments

89 recommendations have been completed.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

50%

## % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

50 recommendations are in progress, these projects are multi-year projects. A lot of these projects will be completed and closed out in FY18

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments The Forest Service (FS) Designated Federal Offici whether recommended projects proposals are or a also reports on actions taken to implement approve verbal reports and presentations at committee meanitoring activities.	re not approved by the FS. The DFO ed projects. This may take the form of
What other actions has the agency taken as a recommendation?	esult of the committee's advice or
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	<b>✓</b>
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	<b>Z</b>
Other	
Action Comments	
The Forest Service reviews RAC recommended pr	ojects and, if approved, incorporates
the projects into its program of work. RAC project put the form of grant requests.	proposals are often, but not always, in
Is the Committee engaged in the review of appl	ications for grants?
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants reviewed	for approval 0
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants recomme	nded for approval 0
What is the estimated <b>Dollar Value</b> of grants recor	mmended for approval \$0
Grant Review Comments	
There were no meetings and review of proposals for	or FY18. All funds were allocated in
FY16 and they were executed in FY18.	or r r ro. 7 iii rando were allocated iii
	ha Cammitta da da serre en tatia a C
How is access provided to the information for t	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	Checked if Applies
Online Agency Web Site	× -
Online Committee Web Site	

Online GSA FACA Web Site	<b>v</b>
Publications	<b>v</b>
Other	

## **Access Comments**

Not applicable.